Family Name	Sunderland
Given Name	Claire
Person ID	1286716
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Sunderland
Given Name	Claire
Person ID	1286716
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I have lived in the Bamford area REDACTED TEXT and strongly believe that JPA 19 Bamford/Norden should be removed from Places for Everyone (PfE).  The allocation of this protected green belt land for PfE is not consistent with national policy and the developers have not demonstrated that there is an exceptional need to build on it. The site fails to comply with PfE Objectives 7 and 8, and 6 out of the 7 Site Selection Criteria. The protected space is well used by the local community and alternative brownfield sites should be properly examined and used in preference, especially those closer to existing transport hubs. There is no unmet housing need in this area that requires the building of 450 executive detached houses. We must not destruct this precious green belt land - once it is gone, it cannot be undone. The proposals are not consistent with sustainable development and NPPF Chapter 13.  REDACTED TEXT, I have seen first hand how flooding frequently affects this area with its heavy clay soil. The assessment of the flood risk for this site does not fit with reality. A significant number of football matches on 'Bridge Fields', tennis matches and cricket games are cancelled each season due to severely waterlogged facilities - and the drainage on the pitches actually seems better than that on the surrounding fields. Concreting over the natural drainage, and removing the hedgerows and mature trees, will only make the situation worse for the whole area. I believe the site fails to comply with PfE Objective 2 and is not consistent with NPPF Chapter 14. If a precedent is set for taking this protected green belt land, right next to the well-used sports facilities, there seems to be a significant likelihood that these sites will also be developed in the future. These leisure facilities (football, tennis and cricket) are precious to our local community have many active members of all ages. The public footpaths and tracks around this whole JPA 19 Bamford/Norden area are frequented by walkers, bikers, dog-walkers, runners

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

homes would remove our exercise spaces and deprive us of the associated health benefits of being physically active and social in our local community. The site fails to comply with PfE Objectives 7, 8 and 10 and is not consistent with Chapter 8 of NPPF.

The destruction of the area would also be catastrophic to the local wildlife which include many protected species. As I keen walker, REDACTED TEXT, I have been privileged to observe deer on the track ahead and it is essential that this valuable natural environment, with its many life-enhancing experiences, be preserved for future generations. I believe the site fails to comply with PfE Objective 8 and is not consistent with NPPF Chapter 15.

The JPA 19 Bamford/Norden site is not justified, not positively prepared and not consistent with National Policy. This publicly accessible green belt land, which is protected by nation planning policy, must be removed from Places for Everyone.

Redacted modification
- Please set out the
modification(s) you
consider necessary to
make this section of the
plan legally compliant
and sound, in respect
of any legal compliance
or soundness matters
you have identified
above.

See details above.